

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FIL | ING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|----------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/675,464 09/30/2003 | | 9/30/2003 | Radek Oleksiewicz | D5174-CIP | 5551 |
| 30409 | 7590 | 08/10/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| INTERNAT | | NY SOLIS, E | SOLIS, ERICK R | | |
| P.O. BOX 14 | | D | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| WARRENV | ILLE, IL | 60555 | 3747 | | |

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ^ | |
|----|--|
| 10 | |
| V | |
| | |

Advisory Action

| Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|----------------|--------------------|--|--|
| | 10/675,464 | OLEKSIEWICZ, RADEK | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | Erick R. Solis | 3747 | | |
| | | | | |

| AUVISOLY ACTION | 10/075,404 | OLLINGILITIOE, IT | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Erick R. Solis | 3747 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| E REPLY FILED 28 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of | date of the final rejection. | o final rejection, whicheve | arielater In no | | | |
| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO | | | | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(f |) . | | | | | |
| extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have een filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 c.FR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) bove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any solution in Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must | extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) |), to avoid dismissal (| of the appeal. | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- | onsideration and/or search (see NC ow); itter form for appeal by materially recorresponding number of finally recorresponding number of Non-C in the second number | educing or simplifying ejected claims. ompliant Amendmen oh rejection of claims et timely filed amendmen | t (PTOL-324). 13 and 26. nent canceling | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-27. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good at and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | nd sufficient reasons why the affida | vit or other evidence | is necessary | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessato. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the content | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. \$ | eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d) | ails to provide a (1). | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | of the states of the stating area. | onaly to botom or and | ``` | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be | ut does NOT place the application | in condition for allow | ance because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 13. Other: | . (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | No(s) Erick R Solis Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3747 | C'n | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)